Online Teaching Module Delivery

Module Feedback Peer-Review Survey

Adapted from:

Athabasca University (2014). COI Survey. Available from https://coi.athabascau.ca/coi-model/coi-survey/

Northcote, M., & Seddon, J. (2011). MOOBRIC: A self-reflection rubric of Moodle skills and knowledge (online teaching, course design). Available from http://moobric.net/moobric/full_moobric_latest.pdf

Module Identification

Name of Module Reviewed:

Name of Module Developer / Instructor:

Link (URL) to Online Module (if available):

Date of Review:

Instructions for Course Developers

- Make sure you provide access to a copy of this survey form in your Online Teaching Module.
- Use the feedback provided on this form to guide your Final Reflection on your Online Teaching Module project, and to identify any possible revisions that could be made to your Online Teaching Module.

Instructions for Peer-Reviewers

- Use this form to provide peer-feedback on the delivery of the Online Teaching Modules developed by the members of your Online Teaching Module (Peer Review 2) Group.
- Complete all sections of this form. Provide detailed feedback in the spaces provided.
- Forward a copy of this completed form to the appropriate members of your Online Teaching Module (Peer Review 2) Group NO LATER than 11:59 pm Eastern Time on the Sunday, July 30, 2017.
- Submit a copy of your completed feedback form to the Peer Review 2: Module Delivery Assignment Drop Box in Canvas no later than 11:59 pm Eastern Time on the Sunday, July 30, 2017.
 - Note upload copies of ALL forms completed to the Assignment Dropbox as part of the same submission (You may need to compress your files together as a .zip file).

Decalling Chandends
Baseline Standards
Syllabus and Introductory Material
Syllabus and course schedule are clearly outlined
Course materials, dates, and links are reviewed for accuracy and consistency.
Course Preview or Introduction video/audio is ready to present to students before first day of classes
Course Navigation and Technical Requirements
Course uses an appropriate learning management system
Students can readily access the technologies required in the course
The course technologies are current
Technology requirements are clearly mapped with links to required software
The tools and media support the course learning objectives
Navigation throughout the online components of the course is logical, consistent, and efficient
Course is well-organized and easy to navigate
All web pages are visually and functionally consistent throughout the course
Learning Activities
Lessons are sequenced in logical order
Lessons or modules and access to course materials are consistent in structure, design, and location
Duplication of information is strategically managed
Course identifies synchronous/asynchronous communication tools
Learning Support
Learner support is clearly identified within the course (including supports available via the LMS platform provider)
Links to Institution student services and resources are noted in the course.

Additional Comments on Baseline Standards

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to the Baseline Standards (Syllabus and Introductory Material, Course Navigation and Technical Requirements, Learning Activities, and Learning Support) for the Online Teaching Unit.

Teaching Presence

5 point Likert-type scale

1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree

Design & Organizat				
1. The instructor clearly	y communicated important	t course topics.		
1	2	3	4	5
2. The instructor clearly	y communicated important	t course goals.		
1	2	3	4	5
3. The instructor provid	ded clear instructions on ho	ow to participate in course	e learning activities.	
1	2	3	4	5
4. The instructor clearl	y communicated important	t due dates/time frames f	or learning activities.	
1	2	3	4	5
Facilitation 5. The instructor was h learn. 1	elpful in identifying areas o	of agreement and disagree	ement on course topics t 4	hat helped me to 5
6. The instructor was h	elpful in guiding the class t	owards understanding co	urse topics in a way that	helped me clarify my
1	2	3	4	5
7. The instructor helpe	d to keep course participar	nts engaged and participa	ting in productive dialogu	ue.
1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor helpe	d keep the course participa	ants on task in a way that	helped me to learn.	
1	2	3	4	5
9. The instructor encou	raged course participants	to explore new concepts i	n this course.	
1	2	3	4	5

10. Instructor actions rein	forced the development	of a sense of community	among course participant	S.
1	2	3	4	5
Direct Instruction				
11. The instructor helped	to focus discussion on re	elevant issues in a way tha	at helped me to learn.	
1	2	3	4	5
12. The instructor provide	d feedback that helped	me understand my streng	ths and weaknesses.	
1	2	3	4	5
13. The instructor provide	d feedback in a timely fa	ashion.		
1	2	3	4	5

Additional Comments on Teaching Presence

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to Teaching Presence for the Online Teaching Unit.

Social Presence

5 point Likert-type scale

1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree

Affective expression 14. Getting to know othe	r course participants gav	e me a sense of belongin	g in the course.	
1	2	3	4	5
15. I was able to form dis	tinct impressions of some	e course participants.		
1	2	3	4	5
16. Online or web-based	communication is an exc	ellent medium for social	interaction.	
1	2	3	4	5
Open communicatio 17. I felt comfortable cor		ne medium.		
1	2	3	4	5
18. I felt comfortable par	ticipating in the course d	iscussions.		
1	2	3	4	5
19. I felt comfortable inte	eracting with other cours	e participants.		
1	2	3	4	5
Group cohesion 20. I felt comfortable disa	agreeing with other cours	se participants while still	maintaining a sense of trus	st.
1	2	3	4	5
21. I felt that my point of	view was acknowledged	by other course particip	ants.	
1	2	3	4	5
22. Online discussions he	lp me to develop a sense	of collaboration.		

Additional Comments on Social Presence

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to Social Presence for the Online Teaching Unit.

Cognitive Presence

5 point Likert-type scale

1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree

2

1

Triggering event 23. Problems posed incre	eased my interest in cours	se issues.		
1	2	3	4	5
24. Course activities piqu	ued my curiosity.			
1	2	3	4	5
25. I felt motivated to ex	xplore content related que	estions.		
1	2	3	4	5
Exploration 26. I utilized a variety of	information sources to ex	plore problems posed in	this course.	
1	2	3	4	5
27. Brainstorming and fi	nding relevant informatio	n helped me resolve con	tent related questions.	
1	2	3	4	5
28. Online discussions w	ere valuable in helping m	e appreciate different pe	rspectives.	
1	2	3	4	5
Integration 29. Combining new infor	mation helped me answe	r questions raised in cou	rse activities.	
1	2	3	4	5
30. Learning activities he	elped me construct explan	ations/solutions.		
1	2	3	4	5
31. Reflection on course	content and discussions h	nelped me understand fu	ndamental concepts in this	s class.

3

5

Resolution 32. I can desc

32. I can describe ways to test and apply the knowledge created in this course.

1 2 3 4 5

33. I have developed solutions to course problems that can be applied in practice.

1 2 3 4 5

34. I can apply the knowledge created in this course to my work or other non-class related activities.

1 2 3 4 5

Additional Comments on Cognitive Presence

Please provide specific feedback on any improvements that could / should be made with respect to Cognitive Presence for the Online Teaching Unit.

Additional Feedback

Please feel free to provide any additional feedback about the Online Teaching Unit